

1 RONALD A. ZUMBRUN, SBN 32684  
 2 MARK A. TEH, SBN 216756  
 3 THE ZUMBRUN LAW FIRM  
 3800 Watt Avenue, Suite 101  
 4 Sacramento, California 95821  
 Telephone: (916) 486-5900  
 5 Facsimile: (916) 486-5959

6 Attorneys for Plaintiff

FILED  
~~ENDORSED~~  
*Wex*  
 06 MAY -4 AM 11:43  
 SACRAMENTO COURTS  
 DEPT. #53

7  
 8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
 9 COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO

11 THE ZUMBRUN LAW FIRM,

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 CALIFORNIA LEGISLATURE; JOINT  
 15 COMMITTEE ON RULES; ASSEMBLY  
 16 COMMITTEE ON RULES; SENATE  
 17 RULES COMMITTEE; JON WALDIE,  
 Chief Administrative Officer of the Joint  
 18 Committee on Rules and Assembly  
 Committee on Rules; GREGORY P.  
 19 SCHMIDT, Secretary of the Senate and  
 Senate Rules Committee; KEITH FELTE,  
 20 Senate Rules Committee and DOES 1  
 through 50, inclusive,

21 Defendants.

Case No.: 06AS00775

Complaint Filed: 2/27/06

22  
 23 [PROPOSED]  
ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

Date: 5/4/06  
 Time: 9:15 a.m.  
 Dept.: 53  
 Trial Date: None

The Hon. Loren E. McMaster

24 ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE


25 The Court having considered the complaint, the ex parte application for order to show  
 26 cause, the memorandum of points and authorities in support thereof, the declaration of Ronald A.  
 27 Zumbun in support thereof, and the arguments of counsel,  
 28

THE ZUMBRUN LAW FIRM  
 A Professional Corporation  
 3800 Watt Avenue, Suite 101  
 Sacramento, CA 95821

1 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that defendants California Legislature, Joint Committee on  
 2 Rules, Assembly Committee on Rules, Senate Rules Committee, Jon Waldie, Gregory P.  
 3 Schmidt, and Keith Feltz shall appear before the Court in Department 53 at 800 Ninth Street,  
 4 Sacramento, California, at 2:00 p.m., on May 17, 2006, to show cause, if any they  
 5 have, why an order should not be entered (1) finding that defendants have violated California's  
 6 Legislative Open Records Act; (2) ordering defendants to fully comply with the Legislative Open  
 7 Records Act; (3) requiring defendants to properly identify the documents not produced and the  
 8 exemption or privilege claimed and allowing the Court to review the documents not produced in  
 9 camera; (4) to produce its authorization to include union-only requirements in its contracts; (5)  
 10 upon failing to produce such authorization, to declare and enjoin said restriction as unlawful and  
 11 unenforceable, and at a subsequent hearing also to issue a further order; (6) finding that there has  
 12 been an unlawful delegation of contracting authority; (7) finding that defendants have violated  
 13 section 526a of the Code of Civil Procedure; (8) finding that defendants have violated the  
 14 separation of powers clause of the California Constitution, Article III, section 2; and (9) granting  
 15 such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

16 *OPPOSITION TO BIE FILED AND SERVED BY*  
 17 *MAY 12, 2006*

18 BATED: MAY - 4 2006



19 LOREN E. MCMASTER  
 20 Judge of the Superior Court

THE ZUMERUN LAW FIRM  
 A Professional Corporation  
 3800 Watt Avenue, Suite 101  
 Sacramento, CA 95821

21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28